

From: [Christina Walsh](#)
To: [Rohlfes, Larry@DTSC](#); [Singh, Mike@DTSC](#)
Subject: Correcting false statements made about SSFLCAG by Alec Uzemeck posted on IRP website.
Date: Wednesday, October 19, 2016 11:30:32 AM
Attachments: [Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.30.59 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.28.57 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.24.08 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.33.32 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.34.06 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.34.51 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.38.21 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.21.57 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.21.17 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.20.12 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.18.55 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.15.25 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.14.37 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.14.09 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.12.32 PM.png](#)
[Screen Shot 2016-10-13 at 7.09.59 PM.png](#)

I guess this never ends. Alec Uzemeck does not get to re-write history and out and out lie about pushing me out, using disgusting antics that are worthy of the current presidential election. This includes the bizarre demand that I stay 15 feet away from Mr. Luker when I had not had any contact with him except that our committee meetings were held in a little room at the Bell Canyon Association (Ziman) and when I went to Alec about this, he said I had to adhere to it, so therefore could not be chairing that committee. That's when I started complaining about this, and ever since, and yet they would like to pretend it never happened. This was SLANDER, and a specific effort (a very mean one) to discredit and embarrass me to get me out. As long as I continued to say I wanted to present a counter presentation to Abe's version about radiation, they got meaner and meaner, and it was used to spread rumors. Considering what I went through from the other side, I really did not see this coming. Mr. Brehm said to me, in front of other CAG members, "You're the one having all the affairs." What the hell is he talking about? When I pushed for clarification because I was SHOCKED, he revealed that he had heard that from Mr. Luker. I repeat that this is FALSE, and everyone knows we do not speak at all, so how would I not be allowed to be in the same room because of this false nonsense, effectively removing me from the process...and then it took off as a full-blown "alt-right" style anti-cleanup group including the secret funding which later caused several members to resign because of the secrecy imposed by Alec.

I managed the blog for the SSFL CAG when I managed for years before it was even created. When I was investigated by the SOOO Senate Office of Oversight and Outcomes, I invited Mr. Hill, who was asking the questions because of accusations that I was an "astroturfer" in the ConsumerWatchDog tabloid slander report that probably helped result in the making of the IRP, to monitor that blog. It remains intact and I invite you to review the dialogue that continued before and after that point in time when they pushed "cleanup" voices out. It shows exactly how it was run when I was in charge of communications, and exactly how it was run after they pushed me out and refused to even speak to me after I filed the complaint. Mr. Ziman never responded as promised, he just pulled the DTSC styled, "shelter in place" pivot and refused to respond at all. I have all of these records and will continue to publish the truth.

They went so far as to say that my email address cleanuprocketdyne.org was inappropriate and biased because it contained the word cleanup.

When they went with me to Sacramento to attend a meeting with Debbie Raphael to deliver a voted on "Modification In Principle" detailing the ideas of how to productively modify the AOC to make it easier to implement and suggest compromises. Despite the fact that Kim (Debbie's assistant) confirmed the attendees of the meeting to include Dr. Ronald Ziman and Alec Uzemeck


and Christian Killkkaa, the day of the meeting, Kim was out sick. Debbie made a comment that she didn't realize that others were attending (which made them very angry with me) and also when we tried to deliver the voted on MIP document that the CAG had voted on, and we, the members of the CAG were there to discuss with her, she refused to accept it. She wouldn't even look at it or even touch it and made reference to an upcoming PRA and that she would not be receiving any such information. We had driven all that way, had hotel costs, made all arrangements in advance and when we got there, she wouldn't discuss anything with us and then dismissed herself and left us in the conference room. I guess, thinking back, it's not so strange that Alec reacted the way he did, but it would have been nice if he would have said something to me, instead, he never spoke to me again and launched a campaign to disparage, slander.

Now, given this information (which I can also prove with my emails back and forth with Kim), I think it's important for the IRP to ask itself why it is that the Director of the Department is willing to treat the stakeholders of an issue in this way? It isn't so strange that Alec went pure dirty after that. Important here, is the root cause, when community members are victimized and slandered for getting involved civically in the responsibilities to their communities. If the rules under Ch. 6.8 as detailed about diversity were followed, AND DTSC behaved in an unbiased manner, LISTENING to the concerns of the communities that INCLUDE the many interests including those in real estate and those who just worry about home-value because so much fear-mongering is going on. We shouldn't have to prove it was the worst place ever ever ever, in order for DTSC to regulated and implement cleanup. We have responsible parties, so why are they not held to that responsibility??? That's on DTSC and allowing the pressures that go with funding from the RPs to control their decision-making. Using former workers who are still on the pay-roll to attack community members for sport, while being paid by said RP, is inappropriate and it's strange that this is allowed, but then again, it's strange for DTSC to create a group that it then states is responsible to no one and can essentially do whatever they want. That has certainly come true, and they have as a result of that "green light" continued their attacks, making it harder and harder for anyone in the community to be able to stand up without being shot down.


I guess I am exhibit B

I also have emails that show that Christian Killkkaa was removed and replaced when I left, and that he was surprised to find out...no one told him. I will find and publish those emails too because this re-write of history is unacceptable.



To delete me from having started the CAG is more of the same nasty stuff. They could have shown an ounce of respect...just once, but they NEVER did. It was nothing but NASTY and more toxic than the fallout that Abe is perpetuating.






Abe says this, but they NEVER let me. It was hostile to the point where strangers came up to me and asked why allow myself to be treated this way. It was literally hard for strangers to watch.




This is how Abe would respond when I would ask him to stop:









Mr. Brandlin is a CAG member (or at least he was at the time and agreed that the behavior was really inappropriate)





Mail File Edit View Mailbox Message Format Window Help

Sent (Found 72 matches for search)

Search: All Inboxes (52883) VIPs (682) Drafts (2198) Flagged Sent (129)

To: Abe Weitzberg

cleanuprocketdine@yahoo.com
 October 20, 2013 at 10:04 AM

To: Ronald Ziman Cc: Alec Uzemek, Brian Sujata, Ross Berman, and 16 more...
 Re: SSFL CAG Technical Committee Pizza Fest and Kick-Off Meeting

We still don't have everyone on the yahoo system for a variety of reasons and not everyone will handle separate systems easily. That will be something for the cag to decide.

This list above is also missing people. I added Frank, but kathy is also missing and I don't have her email on my phone. Please review your distribution list

Thanks and best wishes
 Skickat från min iPhone

19 okt 2013 kl. 20:03 skrev Ronald Ziman <ronald.ziman@gmail.com>:

Using a system that was designed for scheduling the optimal meeting time/place meets the needs of the group better than a system that was designed for a different purpose, voting. The way to encourage people to become engaged is to make it easier rather than more difficult for them to participate. Scheduling to maximize member participation should be the priority. The CAG meeting Monday Vs. Wednesday Vs. a mix question has many combinations with 4 or more Mondays and Wednesdays/month. Doodle is my choice to sort this out.

Regards,
 Ron

On Sat, Oct 19, 2013 at 1:59 PM, Alec Uzemek <alecuzemek@icloud.com> wrote:
 I agree. The limit of one choice doesn't say what other days I am available and I would prefer the use of Doodle.com for setting up meetings.

Alec

On Oct 19, 2013, at 12:26 PM, Ronald Ziman <ronald.ziman@gmail.com> wrote:
 Hi All,
 I am writing to express a concern about the limitation of our yahoo polling. Though I think it's great that they offer this service and it's nice to have everything in one place, at the same time I find the polling rather frustrating when it comes to scheduling. Firstly, only 1 response is possible. There may be several times that I am available, some more convenient than others within the options indicated, but I cannot "vote" on more than 1. The issue is that I need to vote on more than 1. I vote, I lose my response time based on the responses that



Mail File Edit View Mailbox Message Format Window Help

Sent (Found 58 matches for search)

Search: All Inboxes (52883) VIPs (682) Drafts (2198) Flagged Sent (129)

To: Perez, Marina@DTSC

Another Facility... Sent - cw...edyne.org
 Where is the sampling that looks at the discharge pipes that led from CTLLI...

cleanupssfl@yahoo.com... 8/1/14
 Under the hood... Sent - cw...edyne.org
 Seriously Abe? Okay, let's take a closer look at this supposed study. For start...

Kamara Noelle Sams 7/30/14
 meeting tomorrow at SS... Sent - Google
 I didn't know about the meeting happening tomorrow and would like t...

alecmu@aol.com 7/23/14
 Re: Cancer - CA... Sent - cw...edyne.org
 Yes, to me too. You have gone way too far, and do not get to use your positio...

Mariana Perez 7/15/14
 DTSC should re... Sent - cw...edyne.org
 http://sooo.senate.ca.gov/sites/sooo.senate.ca.gov/files/FINAL-DTSC...

cleanupssfl@yahoo.com... 7/14/14
 more on the cle... Sent - cw...edyne.org
 Part one, part two, part five...no reason to keep this organized so peo...

Alec Uzemek 7/14/14
 [TheRocketdyn... Sent - c...yne.org 2 >
 The point is not whether I have been invited to speak, and frankl...

Christine Rowe 8/19/14
 Re: [cleanu... Sent - cw...edyne.org
 I personally thought the presentations from the students was excellent. The...

ssflcaginside@yahoo.com... 8/12/14
 Lacking water... Sent - cw...edyne.org
 I find these maps to be readable and underscore the importance of looking...

ssflcaginside@yahoo.com... 5/13/14
 Re: Re: [ssflcag... Sent - cw...edyne.org
 Why would you want to impact more wildlife areas instead of using existin...

For anybody who did not attend the meeting in person or by phone, the first paragraph below is a gross misrepresentation of the air pathway study that was presented. The study only considered PAH (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) which are relatively heavy sooty particulates and would not have been expected to travel far. It was explicitly explained by the modeler that the gaseous combustion products were not included in the study. The purpose was to determine where the particulates would have been deposited on the soil to guide the soil sampling. The model was confirmed by the soil sampling. Nobody said anything about nothing going off site.

Abe

Abe Weitzberg phone: 818-347-5068
 5711 Como Circle mobile: 301-254-9601
 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

From: cleanupssfl@yahoo.com [mailto:cleanupssfl@yahoo.com]
 Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2014 7:12 PM
 To: cleanupssfl@yahoo.com
 Subject: [cleanupssfl] today at the "Public" meeting on groundwater


We were presented a study on air dispersion to prove that all the testing, burning, detonating and "testing to distinction" or incineration that went on for decades (s) - none of the stuff went off site?? Seriously? 50 years of burning and none of it went anywhere? They didn't even consider policy which was to burn when the wind went to the NW and SW at a minimum of 3mph. That was not considered in their modeling. Failing to consider this is to bias this to make dispersion appear to be equal where it was not.

A total of five community people were there, and 20 or so RP and regulator people to present the information to the five. Makes me wonder. We were told more about how many holes were dug for new wells (67 I think), but still no data from last year on the seeps we keep asking and asking about. It seems that the RPs control the information, the data about the site. Not the regulator, and I'm not sure that's okay.


No attention on how to treat the problem, only on how to defend the problem. We need solutions, not excuses.

#cleanupSSFL
 <image001.jpg>






I feel like a broken record:



This is not a response, this is a refusal to respond...and it's been going downhill ever since DTSC gave them the green light to behave this way:



I learned of a family member in Sweden the night before the meeting with Debbie, so we were all together at dinner. When I went to Sweden for the funeral, this is what Alec did:

