

From: [Christina Walsh](#)
To: [Kracov, Gideon@DTSC](mailto:Kracov.Gideon@DTSC); [Lee, Barbara@DTSC](mailto:Lee.Barbara@DTSC); [Erreca, Erik@DTSC](mailto:Erreca.Erik@DTSC); [Rohlfes, Larry@DTSC](mailto:Rohlfes.Larry@DTSC); [Leclerc, Ray@DTSC](mailto:Leclerc.Ray@DTSC); [Rubin, Marcia@DTSC](mailto:Rubin.Marcia@DTSC); [Bowen, Patrice@DTSC](mailto:Bowen.Patrice@DTSC); [Cope, Grant@EPA](mailto:Cope.Grant@EPA); [Teresa M. Rochester](mailto:Teresa.M.Rochester); [Holly Huff](#); fran.pavley@sen.ca.gov; [Supervisor Sheila Kuehl](#)
Subject: The archives
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2016 6:56:52 AM

By their own archives, this ONLY shows ONE side by design. I guess it really is a CAG of five pro polluter people and everyone else is just noise that isn't heard. Anything to stop the trucks? What about the toxic contamination we've waited to be removed? What about the harm caused by volatile organic compounds, especially with indoor vapor intrusion like we will soon see at Runkle and Dayton Canyons? I guess no one will know since no one will sample

<http://ssflcag.net/archives.php>

This shows more clearly than anything else just how captured DTSC is and how this plan is really all about protecting the polluter, not the people.

They've been distributing the trifold brochure for more than TWO years, long before any of the data was actually completed. How is that responsible for a CAG to communicate the data, as if it's in, when it clearly was not. We're still waiting, but they've been building the anti-cleanup rhetoric officially on a brochure for more than TWO YEARS without any feedback from DTSC despite the ongoing complaints from the public including myself.

The lack of public participation on this site is a direct result of the interference role played by DTSC, who is supposed to be enabling the public to get information about this massive cleanup. Instead, you've allowed the polluter to write the story and distribute it for YEARS despite it's inaccuracies. Is that because as long as DTSC isn't doing the lying it isn't a lie? I realize based on presidential politics, that you probably don't even think lying is wrong anymore. But seriously, since your job is to regulate the polluter, colluding with the polluter in this way is absolutely disgraceful and makes any solution provided, difficult to believe at best.

Christina Walsh
cleanuprocketdyne.org
8189225123