



# Safer Consumer Products Regulations

Presentation to the Green Ribbon Science Panel

April 9 and 10, 2014



California  
Environmental  
Protection Agency



Department of  
Toxic Substances  
Control



# Update on Alternatives Analysis Guidance Development





## Past to present

3 AA symposia in 2010

Tools workshop Oct 9-10, 2012

Engaged alternatives assessment community

- IC2 AA guide development
- OECD Meta Study development
- ‘Commons’ efforts
- BizNGO AA studies
- DfE, HESI, ASTM



# SCP Implementation Timeline

2014				2015			
March			Oct				
propose priority products			3yr workplan due			Adoption of Priority Products	
Alternatives Analysis Guide Development							





## 2015 and beyond

### Conduct trainings

- EPA tools
- GreenScreen
- Exposure modeling
- Life cycle

Hold workshops on AA methods/tools

Conduct a pilot AA effort

Assist those conducting AA's



SAFER  
CONSUMER  
PRODUCTS



DTSC



## Guidance- Key considerations

The regulations provide a framework, steps, what needs to be considered for AA and reporting requirements.

- Only the Reg's are enforceable
- Guidance is assistance to meet Reg standards





## Guidance- Key considerations

AA's will inform:

- Regulated entity's decisions
- DTSC's regulatory response

Large entities will likely follow their own R&D/product development protocol

- Guidance is focused on SME
- Assume capabilities to perform





# Guide approach

## The guide will:

- Follow the regulatory requirements
- Be flexible – recognize multiple methodologies
- List tools/methods/approaches, data sources and examples for completing each step
- Not provide thresholds or criteria
- Consider different methods for formulated versus articles
- Be a living document





## Guide Status

Team members are drafting chapters

Hold workshops in summer/fall

Goal is to have a completed guide by the end of this year

Team:

Nancy Ostrom

Relly Briones

Xiaoying Zhou

others

Farah Esfandiari

Tony Luan

Hortensia Muniz-Ghazi



SAFER  
CONSUMER  
PRODUCTS



DTSC



# A-M Criteria and Regulations



SAFER  
CONSUMER  
PRODUCTS





# Statutory Requirements and Regulations

- Regulations *always* clarify, interpret and make specific statutory requirements
- Health and Safety Code section 25253(a)(2), is clarified and made more specific in Title 22, Chapter 55, Article 5

*AB 1879 (Chapter 559, Statutes of 2008) (Feuer)  
Green Chemistry*





## Required statutory considerations:

- A. Product function / performance
- B. Useful life
- C. Materials/resource consumption
- D. Water conservation
- E. Water quality impacts
- F. Air emissions
- G. Product use, transportation, energy inputs
- H. Energy efficiency
- I. Greenhouse gas emissions
- J. Waste and end-of-life disposal
- K. Public health impacts
- L. Environmental impacts
- M. Economic impacts





## Required statutory considerations:

A-M must be considered in an alternatives analysis *before a regulatory response may be applied*

Health and Safety Code 25253(b)





# A-M Criteria

- **Benefits**
  - Comprehensive
  - Capture product life cycle impacts
- **Challenges:**
  - Many criteria overlap
  - Not consistent with standard AA and LCA terminology/categories
  - Don't align with standard scientific areas of focus





## Safer Consumer Products Regulations

- Use terms, *to the extent practical*, already defined in California
  - OEHHA, Water Board, CalRecycle, etc.
- Use a process consistent with other life cycle assessment tools



\*per Article 1



# A-M criteria in the SCP Regulations

Not a one-to-one correlation

Example

- Product function (A)
- Useful life (B)
- Product Use (G)

All are combined and  
addressed in multiple  
sections of regs



- §69501.1(a)(30) & (67)
- §69505.5(a)
- §69505.6(a)(2)



A vertical stack of laboratory glassware, including a test tube with a yellow liquid being added, and several petri dishes, set against a blue background.

# A-M criteria in the SCP Regulations

L criteria addressed as follows:

- Adverse environmental impacts listed in 69501.1(a)(4)
  
- Each is defined in regulation
  - Air quality                    69501.1(a)(2)
  - Ecological                    69501.1(a)(3)
  - Soil quality                    69501.1(a)(7)
  - Water quality                69501.1(a)(9)



**ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS §69505.5(c)(1)(A)**

**Adverse air quality impacts §69505.1(a)(3)**

- CA Toxic Air Contaminants
- Emissions of Greenhouse Gases
- Emissions of nitrogen oxides
- Emissions of particulate matter §69405.7
- Emissions of stratospheric ozone depletion §69405.8
- Emissions of sulfur oxides
- Emissions of Tropospheric ozone-forming §69405.1

**Adverse ecological impacts §69505.1(a)(7)**

- Acute or chronic toxicity;
- Changes in population size, reductions in biodiversity, or changes in ecological communities; and
- The ability of an endangered or threatened species to survive or reproduce;
- Deterioration or loss of environmentally sensitive habitats;
- Impacts that contribute to or cause vegetation contamination or damage; and
- Biological or chemical contamination of soils; or
- Any other adverse effect in: #
- Domesticated Animal Toxicity
- **NOT COMPLETE LIST**

**Adverse soil quality impacts §69505.1(a)(7)**

- Compaction or other structural changes;
- Erosion;
- Loss of organic matter;
- Soil sealing

**Adverse water quality impacts §69505.1(a)(9)**

- Increase in biological oxygen demand;
- Increase in chemical oxygen demand;
- Increase in temperature;
- Increase in total dissolved solids;
- CWA 303(c) & (d);
- Safe Drinking Water Act Pollutants;
- CA HSC 116455 with Notification Levels;
- CA safe Drinking Water Act with Public Health Goals

Exceedance of enforceable California or federal regulatory standard relating to the protection of the environment.

For illustration only

**Must See other Regulations for complete for list**



## Flexible Options to comply with the requirements

- 2-stage AA
- Abridged AA
- Alternate Process AA
- Previously Completed AA





# What option ? When?

## 2-stage AA

- Compare Priority Product with 1 or more alternative(s)
- To demonstrate COC is necessary and/or not economically feasible

## Abridged AA

- No Functionally acceptable technically feasible alternative
- Allows Responsible Entity to go straight to R&D

## Alternate Process AA

- Money saving alternative for Responsible Entities with an existing process that meets the requirements in article 5

## Previously Completed AA

- More generic AA
- Supports collaborative AA development





# What do the regulations require?

## 1<sup>st</sup> stage → Screening and options identification

- Identify information that is available
- Determine what is needed
- Identify Relevant factors
- *Optional* - consider Economic impacts
- Identify alternative(s) for further consideration

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage → Decisions

- Deeper dive
- Economic impact required
- Select the preferred/recommended alternative
- Narrative explains basis for conclusions and decisions





# Comparison of Available Frameworks to Safer Consumer Products Regulatory Framework



SAFER  
CONSUMER  
PRODUCTS





# Alternatives Assessment Existing Practice

For today

- Examples of Alternatives Assessment Frameworks
- Comparison to California Requirements





# Example Frameworks

- CA Safer Consumer Products Regulations
- REACH Authorization - Analysis of Alternatives
- Lowell Center AA Framework
- U.S. EPA Design for the Environment Chemical AA Steps
- German Federal Environment Agency: Guide on Sustainable Chemicals
- Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse AA Guide
- BizNGO: Chemical Alternatives Assessment Protocol





## Example Guidelines

- Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse (IC2) AA Guide
- REACH Authorization Guidance
- U.S. EPA DfE Program AA Criteria for Hazard Evaluation





## Example AA Tools

- GreenScreen – hazard assessment and ranking using benchmarks
- Qcat (Quick Chemical Assessment Tool) – simplified hazard assessment with grading
- P2OASys – developed by TURI; guides users through comprehensive analyses to find safer alternatives
- LCA (Lifecycle Assessment) – evaluation of all impacts throughout a product's lifecycle
- CBA (Cost/benefit analysis) – evaluation of costs and benefits of a project





# Frameworks Comparison - OECD Meta Review -

- OECD ad hoc group reviewed AA frameworks
- Compiled a comparative study
- Identified opportunities to advance AA practice



# OECD Comparison of Frameworks

Attributes Framework	Use-Based Exposure/Risk	Cost & Availability	Other Life-cycle Impacts	Social Impacts	Stakeholders	Includes Comparison of Materials and/or Processes
BizNGO Alternatives Assessment Protocol	As needed	Yes	As needed	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Yes
California Safer Consumer Products Regulation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
DfE Chemical Alternatives Assessment Steps	As needed	As needed	As needed	As needed	Yes	Can be added
German Guide on Sustainable Chemicals	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not mentioned	No
Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse (IC2) Alternatives Assessment Guidance (draft)	Yes	Yes	As needed	As needed	As needed	As needed
Lowell Center Alternatives Assessment Framework	Not mentioned	Yes	Not mentioned	Yes	Yes	Yes
UNEP Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee General Guidance on Alternatives	Yes	Yes	As needed	Yes	As needed	As needed
REACH Authorization Analysis of Alternatives	Yes	Yes	As needed	Yes (but in the Socio-Economic Analysis)	Yes	Yes
TURI Alternatives Assessment Process Guidance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
UCLA Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not mentioned	Can be added	Can be added





# Comparison with California Requirements

Attributes Framework	Does it include Guidance?	Is it Publicly Available?	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	(G)overnment(A)cademic Industry/(NGO)(X)	
			Product Function Useful Life	Materials Consumption	Water Conservation	Water Quality Impact	Air Emissions	Prod. Use Energy Inputs	Energy Efficiency	Greenhouse Gas Emissio	Waste/EOL Disposal	Public Health Impacts	Environmental Impacts	Economic Impacts			
California Safer Consumer Products Regulation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	G	
Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse (IC2) Alternatives Assessment Guidance (draft)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	P	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	P	P	P	G	
DfE Chemical Alternatives Assessment Steps	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	P	P	P	G	
German Guide on Sustainable Chemicals	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	P	P	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	P	G	
UNEP Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee General Guidance on Alternatives	Y	Y	Y	Y	?	N	P	P	N	N	N	P	P	P	Y	G	
REACH Authorization Analysis of Alternatives	Y	Y	Y	Y	?	N	P	P	N	N	?	Y	Y	P	Y	G	
Lowell Center Alternatives Assessment Framework	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	P	P	P	A	
TURI Alternatives Assessment Process Guidance	Y	Y	Five case studies – see Lowell Center above.													G	
UCLA Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis	N	Y	Framework only – Does not specify A-M tools.													A	
BizNGO Alternatives Assessment Protocol	N	Y	Framework only – Does not specify A-M tools.													X	
Cradle to Cradle	Y	Y	N	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	N	P	P	N	N	X

Y = Yes/ May Meet California SCP Requirements  
 N = No/Not Included ? = Not Clear  
 P = May Partially Meet California SCP Requirements





# SCP Factors to Consider for Relevancy

- Adverse environmental impacts
- Adverse public health impacts
- Adverse waste and end-of-life effects
- Environmental fate
- Materials and resource consumption impacts
- Physical chemical hazards
- Physico-chemical properties
- Product function and performance
- Economic Impacts
- Life cycle segments
- Exposure pathways





**ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS §69505.5(c)(1)(A)**

**Adverse air quality impacts §69505.1(a)(3)**

- CA Toxic Air Contaminants
- Emissions of Greenhouse Gases
- Emissions of nitrogen oxides
- Emissions of particulate matter §69405.7
- Emissions of stratospheric ozone depletion §69405.8
- Emissions of sulfur oxides
- Emissions of Tropospheric ozone-forming §69405.1

**Adverse ecological impacts §69505.1(a)(7)**

- Acute or chronic toxicity;
- Changes in population size, reductions in biodiversity, or changes in ecological communities; and
- The ability of an endangered or threatened species to survive or reproduce;
- Deterioration or loss of environmentally sensitive habitats;
- Impacts that contribute to or cause vegetation contamination or damage; and
- Biological or chemical contamination of soils; or
- Any other adverse effect in: #
- Domesticated Animal Toxicity
- **NOT COMPLETE LIST**

**Adverse soil quality impacts §69505.1(a)(7)**

- Compaction or other structural changes;
- Erosion;
- Loss of organic matter;
- Soil sealing

**Adverse water quality impacts §69505.1(a)(9)**

- Increase in biological oxygen demand;
- Increase in chemical oxygen demand;
- Increase in temperature;
- Increase in total dissolved solids;
- CWA 303(c) & (d);
- Safe Drinking Water Act Pollutants;
- CA HSC 116455 with Notification Levels;
- CA safe Drinking Water Act with Public Health Goals

Exceedance of enforceable California or federal regulatory standard relating to the protection of the environment.

**Must see regulation for complete list**





# Comparison with California Requirements

Attributes Framework	Does it include Guidance?	Is it Publicly Available?	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	(G)overnment(A)cademic Industry/(NGO)(X)	
			Product Function Useful Life	Materials Consumption	Water Conservation	Water Quality Impact	Air Emissions	Prod. Use Energy Inputs	Energy Efficiency	Greenhouse Gas Emissio	Waste/EOL Disposal	Public Health Impacts	Environmental Impacts	Economic Impacts			
California Safer Consumer Products Regulation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	G	
Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse (IC2) Alternatives Assessment Guidance (draft)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	P	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	P	P	P	G	
DfE Chemical Alternatives Assessment Steps	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	P	P	P	G	
German Guide on Sustainable Chemicals	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	P	P	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	P	G	
UNEP Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee General Guidance on Alternatives	Y	Y	Y	Y	?	N	P	P	N	N	N	P	P	P	Y	G	
REACH Authorization Analysis of Alternatives	Y	Y	Y	Y	?	N	P	P	N	N	?	Y	Y	P	Y	G	
Lowell Center Alternatives Assessment Framework	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	P	P	P	A	
TURI Alternatives Assessment Process Guidance	Y	Y	Five case studies – see Lowell Center above.													G	
UCLA Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis	N	Y	Framework only – Does not specify A-M tools.													A	
BizNGO Alternatives Assessment Protocol	N	Y	Framework only – Does not specify A-M tools.													X	
Cradle to Cradle	Y	Y	N	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	N	P	P	N	N	X

Y = Yes/ May Meet California SCP Requirements  
 N = No/Not Included ? = Not Clear  
 P = May Partially Meet California SCP Requirements

# Comparison of CA and REACH

California Requirements	REACH	Gaps
<p>Adverse environmental impacts</p> <p>AB 1879 Criteria:                      E: Water quality                      F: Air emissions                      I: GHG                      J: Waste and end-of-life                      L: Environmental</p>	<p><u>Environmental Endpoints:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Aquatic toxicity</li> <li>- Sediment toxicity</li> <li>- Toxicity to sewage treatment plant micro-organisms</li> <li>- Degradation/biodegradation</li> <li>- Aquatic bioconcentration and bioaccumulation</li> <li>- Terrestrial bioaccumulation</li> <li>- Long-term toxicity to birds</li> <li>- Terrestrial toxicity</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adverse <b>soil quality</b> impacts not mentioned in REACH</li> <li>- SCP has <b>specific criteria on water quality</b> impacts. Are these addressed by REACH's aquatic toxicity, aquatic bioconcentration and bioaccumulation, sediment toxicity, or sewage impacts?</li> <li>- Adverse <b>air quality impacts</b> not directly addressed</li> </ul>
<p>Adverse public health impacts</p> <p>AB 1879 Criteria:                      K: Public health</p> <p>Cardiovascular, endocrine, neurodevelopmental, digestive system, musculoskeletal, and urinary system toxicity, hematotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, immunotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity, ototoxicity</p>	<p><u>Human health endpoints</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Irritation and corrosion</li> <li>- Skin and respiratory sensitization</li> <li>- Acute toxicity</li> <li>- Repeated dose toxicity</li> <li>- Reproductive and developmental toxicity</li> <li>- Mutagenicity</li> <li>- Carcinogenicity</li> </ul> <p><u>Identification/Derivation of:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- DNEL</li> <li>- PNEC</li> </ul>	<p>REACH's acute toxicity and repeated dose toxicity cover broad impacts on organs and tissues. <b>Reach endpoints may not be broad enough</b> for SCP.</p>





# Tool Sufficiency

## Example: Chemical Hazard Assessment

Greenscreen - 18 endpoints

OEHHA - 39 endpoints → CA reqts

Options:

Could expand Greenscreen, but GS only valued if data exist for each endpoint

Build on other tools: P20ASys? Others?





# Challenges

**Practical Identification of SCP Relevant Factors**

**Tools to Evaluate/Address Relevant Factors**

