

Agenda
SB 1249 Industry Meeting
April 17, 2015
Room 18-10

Invited	Att'd	Invited	Att'd
Rick Brausch (DTSC)		Melisa Cohen (Sims)	
Christopher Cho (DTSC)		Steve Shinn (Sims)	
Valetti Lang (DTSC)		Scott Miller (Sims)	
Ed Benelli (DTSC)		Nick Andrusyshyn (Schnitzer)	
Kevin Sanchez (DTSC)		Chris Orsolini (Schnitzer)	
David Miller (DTSC)		Scott Sloan (Schnitzer)	
Ron Ohta (DTSC)		Jackie Ray (Schnitzer)	
John Muegge (DTSC)		Bruce Rieser (Schnitzer)	
Margaret Rosegay (ISRI, Pillsbury)		Kate Brandenburg (Brandenburg Lobby)	
Robert Hoffman (Paul Hastings)			
Terry Adams (SA Recycling)			
George Adams (SA Recycling)			
Todd Peterson (SA Recycling)			
Jeff Farano Sr. (SA Recycling)			
Roger Griffin (Ecology Auto Parts)			

Agenda:

- 1. Introductions (10 minutes)**
- 2. DTSC overview (10 minutes)**
 - a. What does SB 1249 require DTSC to do?**
 - b. How DTSC intends to complete its work**
- 3. Industry's treatability study work plan (10 minutes)**
- 4. Fee structure/timeline (10 minutes)**
- 5. Industry's perspective/questions/open discussion (50 minutes)**

Introductions:

- **Introduce myself**
- **Before going around the table – mention the agenda (the topics covered by DTSC were mentioned in letter)**
- **Have each person introduce themselves**
- **Rick provides opening remarks**

DTSC (Kevin or Rick)

- **Overview (What is required of DTSC?)**
 - **To conduct a comprehensive evaluation of metal shredding facilities and metal shredder waste**
 - **To prepare an analysis that assesses the risks and hazards with metal shredder activities to determine if and where AMS can be developed to ensure management, treatment and disposal activities are protective of human health and the environment.**
 - **To adopt regulations establishing a fee schedule to reimburse DTSC**
 - **If necessary, adopt regulations establishing AMS**
 - **How**
 - **3 phase work plan being developed – (1) data collection, (2) evaluation and analysis, (3) adoption of regulations, if necessary**
 - **Phase 1 will be focus – (the 1st year of work) - collect information covering all activities occurring at each metal shredding facility including the transportation and ultimate disposition of metal shredder waste**
 - **Will be done in part by providing questionnaires to the metal shredders and landfills and also through meetings with other environmental regulatory agencies**
 - **Why**
 - **To establish a baseline assessment to begin evaluation, identify data gaps and prepare an analysis**
- **Fees (Ed or Rick)**
 - **The law allows DTSC to collect a fee to cover costs to implement and ensure compliance with any standards potentially developed**
 - **Do we mention how? (e.g., using the BCP)**
 - **Do we mention the proposed fee schedule (e.g., it will be for a calendar year)**
 - **It can be done through emergency regulations – effective for 2 years, don't require the documents (no FSOR, ISOR, or CEQA), no public hearing, no 45 day public comment period,**
 - **Do we want input from them regarding how they see the structure working**
 - **Ensure fees are proportional**
 - **Do we mention how (e.g., how much they shred)**

- **Treatability study work plan (Kevin or Rick)**
 - **As we clarified in the letter, we want to use their study when doing our evaluation and analysis however it needs to demonstrate, when assessed, that the treated metal shredder waste that classification and management as hazardous is not necessary to prevent or mitigate potential hazards**
 - **Because of the technical aspects we recommend a meeting with Terraphase in the upcoming weeks**
 - **Do we ask if it would be an issue to modify that plan if necessary**
 - **Modification at this point may include the sampling plan (to ensure treatment is of samples that truly represent the hazardous characteristics and composition of untreated metal shredder waste)**
 - **To use new treatment technologies (e.g., other additives besides the soluble silicate)**
 - **To allow for review and assessment of the study at the bench scale before proceeding to a pilot phase**