Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\110116M\ Data File : M8842.D Acq On : 1 Nov 2016 7:18 pm Operator : TP/MTH Sample : CCVS110116M-10 Misc : E0241,62.5,1 ALS Vial : 18 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Nov 02 09:01:44 2016 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\Q0101116M.M Quant Title : TO-15 Determination of VOCs in Air QLast Update : Wed Oct 12 12:06:20 2016 Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 60% Max. R.T. Dev 0.30min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 140%

| | | Compound | AvgRF | CCRF | %Dev Ai | rea% | Dev(min) |
|----|----|------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|------|----------|
| 1 | | Bromochloromethane | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 2 | mc | Propylene | 0.685 | 0.752 | -9.8 | 78 | 0.02 |
| 3 | Mc | Freon 12 (Dichlorodifluorome | 1.776 | 2.233 | -25.7 | 82 | 0.03 |
| 4 | Mc | Freon 114(1,2-Dichlorotetra | 1.936 | 2.364 | -22.1 | 86 | 0.03 |
| 5 | mc | Chloromethane | 0.701 | 0.807 | -15.1 | 81 | 0.02 |
| 6 | Т | n-Butane | 1.273 | 1.446 | -13.6 | 79 | 0.03 |
| 7 | Mc | Vinyl chloride | 0.796 | 0.945 | -18.7 | 83 | 0.03 |
| 8 | mc | 1,3-Butadiene | 0.682 | 0.730 | -7.0 | 76 | 0.02 |
| 9 | Mc | Bromomethane | 0.668 | 0.813 | -21.7 | 85 | 0.02 |
| 10 | Mc | Chloroethane | 0.390 | 0.465 | -19.2 | 83 | 0.02 |
| 11 | mc | Ethanol | 0.193 | 0.203 | -5.2 | 82 | 0.00 |
| 12 | MC | Bromoethene (Vinyl bromide) | 0.664 | 0.712 | -7.2 | 74 | 0.02 |
| 13 | Mc | Freon 11 (Trichlorofluoromet | 1.872 | 1.987 | -6.1 | 74 | 0.02 |
| 14 | mc | Isopropyl alcohol(2-Propano | 1.220 | 1.167 | 4.3 | 67 | 0.00 |
| 15 | mc | Freon 113(1,1,2-Trichlorotr | 1.373 | 1.456 | -6.0 | 73 | 0.01 |
| 16 | mc | Acetone | 1.252 | 1.180 | 5.8 | 67 | 0.01 |
| 17 | mc | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.163 | 1.228 | -5.6 | 73 | 0.02 |
| 18 | MC | Acetonitrile | 0.760 | 0.751 | 1.2 | 71 | 0.01 |
| 19 | MC | Tertiary butyl alcohol(TBA) | 1.793 | 1.893 | -5.6 | 72 | 0.01 |
| 20 | 10 | Bromoethane (Ethyl bromide) | 0.603 | 0.637 | -5.6 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 21 | MC | 3-Chloropropene (Allyl chlor | 0.988 | 0.940 | 4.9 | 67 | 0.00 |
| 22 | mc | Carbon disulfide | 2.104 | 2.310 | -9.8 | 76 | 0.01 |
| 23 | mc | Methylene chloride | 0.857 | 0.895 | -4.4 | 73 | 0.01 |
| 24 | MC | Acrylonitrile | 0.570 | 0.577 | -1.2 | 68 | 0.01 |
| 25 | mc | Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTB | 2.193 | 2.314 | -5.5 | 72 | 0.01 |
| 26 | mc | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.147 | 1.200 | -4.6 | 72 | 0.01 |
| 27 | mc | n-Hexane | 1.277 | 1.334 | -4.5 | 72 | 0.01 |
| 28 | mc | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 1.397 | 1.462 | -4.7 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 29 | mc | Vinyl acetate | 1.292 | 1.431 | -10.8 | 76 | 0.00 |
| 30 | mc | 2-Butanone (MEK) | 1.764 | 1.704 | 3.4 | 67 | 0.00 |
| 31 | mc | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.778 | 0.822 | -5.7 | 74 | 0.00 |
| 32 | mc | Ethyl acetate | 2.084 | 2.063 | 1.0 | 68 | 0.00 |
| 33 | mc | Chloroform | 1.520 | 1.608 | -5.8 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 34 | mc | Tetrahydrofuran | 1.000 | 0.977 | 2.3 | 67 | 0.00 |
| 35 | mc | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 1.557 | 1.635 | -5.0 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 36 | mc | Cyclohexane | 1.087 | 1.170 | -7.6 | 75 | 0.00 |
| 37 | MC | 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane(Isoo | 4.185 | 4.406 | -5.3 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 38 | mc | Carbon tetrachloride | 1.494 | 1.615 | -8.1 | 75 | 0.00 |
| 39 | mc | n-Heptane | 1.610 | 1.583 | 1.7 | 69 | 0.00 |
| 40 | mc | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 1.085 | 1.093 | -0.7 | 70 | 0.00 |
| 41 | mc | Benzene | 2.380 | 2.537 | -6.6 | 74 | 0.00 |
| 42 | | 1,4-Difluorobenzene | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 69 | 0.00 |
| 43 | mc | Trichloroethene | 0.397 | 0.403 | -1.5 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 44 | mc | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.344 | 0.358 | -4.1 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 45 | Т | Methyl Methacrylate | 0.347 | 0.358 | -3.2 | 69 | 0.00 |
| 46 | mc | Bromodichloromethane | 0.645 | 0.699 | -8.4 | 74 | 0.00 |
| 47 | mc | 1,4-Dioxane | 0.128 | 0.139 | -8.6 | 74 | 0.00 |
| 48 | mc | 4-Methvl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 0.773 | 0.783 | -1.3 | 67 | 0.00 |

| 49 | mc | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.493 | 0.526 | -6.7 | 72 | 0.00 |
|----|----|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----|------|
| 50 | mc | Toluene | 1.082 | 1.147 | -6.0 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 51 | mc | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.494 | 0.526 | -6.5 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 52 | mc | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.363 | 0.395 | -8.8 | 74 | 0.00 |
| 53 | mc | 2-Hexanone (MBK) | 0.754 | 0.787 | -4.4 | 69 | 0.00 |
| 54 | mc | Tetrachloroethene | 0.444 | 0.453 | -2.0 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 55 | CM | Dibromochloromethane | 0.596 | 0.664 | -11.4 | 76 | 0.00 |
| 56 | mc | 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.556 | 0.580 | -4.3 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 57 | | Chlorobenzene-d5 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 68 | 0.00 |
| 58 | mc | Chlorobenzene | 0.927 | 0.973 | -5.0 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 59 | mc | Ethylbenzene | 1.599 | 1.711 | -7.0 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 60 | mc | Xylene (p,m) | 1.282 | 1.433 | -11.8 | 74 | 0.00 |
| 61 | mc | Xylene (Ortho) | 1.302 | 1.387 | -6.5 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 62 | mc | Styrene | 0.952 | 1.025 | -7.7 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 63 | t | Isopropylbenzene (cumene) | 1.792 | 1.999 | -11.6 | 74 | 0.00 |
| 64 | mc | Bromoform | 0.629 | 0.705 | -12.1 | 75 | 0.00 |
| 65 | mc | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.905 | 1.077 | -19.0 | 78 | 0.00 |
| 66 | S | 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.776 | 0.838 | -8.0 | 74 | 0.00 |
| 67 | CM | 4-Ethyltoluene | 1.846 | 2.093 | -13.4 | 75 | 0.00 |
| 68 | mc | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 1.519 | 1.712 | -12.7 | 75 | 0.00 |
| 69 | MC | 2-Chlorotoluene | 1.380 | 1.563 | -13.3 | 76 | 0.00 |
| 70 | mc | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 1.575 | 1.817 | -15.4 | 76 | 0.00 |
| 71 | mc | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 1.036 | 1.136 | -9.7 | 75 | 0.00 |
| 72 | mc | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 1.056 | 1.169 | -10.7 | 75 | 0.00 |
| 73 | mc | Benzyl chloride | 1.118 | 1.333 | -19.2 | 76 | 0.00 |
| 74 | mc | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.978 | 1.090 | -11.5 | 76 | 0.00 |
| 75 | mc | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.910 | 0.941 | -3.4 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 76 | mc | Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | 0.673 | 0.787 | -16.9 | 80 | 0.00 |
| 77 | Т | Naphthalene | 2.177 | 2.466 | -13.3 | 75 | 0.00 |

(#) = Out of Range SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0

Q0101116M.M Wed Nov 02 09:03:46 2016



EMSL Order: Method Blank EMSL Sample ID: MB110116M Received Date: NA Report Date: 11/18/2016

Project ID: Method Blank Lab Sample ID: MB110116M

Lab File ID: M8843.D Sample Vol(mI): 250 Dilution Factor: 1 Sampling Date: NA Canister ID: E15639

Analysis Date: 11/01/2016 Instrument ID: 5973M Analyst Initials: TP/MTH

Method Blank- Target Compound Results Summary

| USEPA: Compendium Method TO-15, January 1999, (EPA/625/R-96/010b). | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------|------|---|-------|----------|--|--|
| Result Result | | | | | | | | |
| Target Compounds | CAS# | MW | ppbv | Q | ug/m3 | Comments | | |
| Propylene | 115-07-1 | 42.08 | 1.0 | U | 1.7 | | | |
| Freon 12(Dichlorodifluoromethane) | 75-71-8 | 120.9 | 0.50 | U | 2.5 | | | |
| Freon 114(1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethan | 76-14-2 | 170.9 | 0.50 | U | 3.5 | | | |
| Chloromethane | 74-87-3 | 50.49 | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | | | |
| n-Butane | 106-97-8 | 58.12 | 0.50 | U | 1.2 | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | 75-01-4 | 62.50 | 0.50 | U | 1.3 | | | |
| 1,3-Butadiene | 106-99-0 | 54.09 | 0.50 | U | 1.1 | | | |
| Bromomethane | 74-83-9 | 94.94 | 0.50 | U | 1.9 | | | |
| Chloroethane | 75-00-3 | 64.52 | 0.50 | U | 1.3 | | | |
| Ethanol | 64-17-5 | 46.07 | 0.50 | U | 0.94 | | | |
| Bromoethene(Vinyl bromide) | 593-60-2 | 106.9 | 0.50 | U | 2.2 | | | |
| Freon 11(Trichlorofluoromethane) | 75-69-4 | 137.4 | 0.50 | U | 2.8 | | | |
| Isopropyl alcohol(2-Propanol) | 67-63-0 | 60.10 | 0.50 | U | 1.2 | | | |
| Freon 113(1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethan | 76-13-1 | 187.4 | 0.50 | U | 3.8 | | | |
| Acetone | 67-64-1 | 58.08 | 0.50 | U | 1.2 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 96.94 | 0.50 | U | 2.0 | | | |
| Acetonitrile | 75-05-8 | 41.00 | 0.50 | U | 0.84 | | | |
| Tertiary butyl alcohol(TBA) | 75-65-0 | 74.12 | 0.50 | U | 1.5 | | | |
| Bromoethane(Ethyl bromide) | 74-96-4 | 108.0 | 0.50 | U | 2.2 | | | |
| 3-Chloropropene(Allyl chloride) | 107-05-1 | 76.53 | 0.50 | U | 1.6 | | | |
| Carbon disulfide | 75-15-0 | 76.14 | 0.50 | U | 1.6 | | | |
| Methylene chloride | 75-09-2 | 84.94 | 0.50 | U | 1.7 | | | |
| Acrylonitrile | 107-13-1 | 53.00 | 0.50 | U | 1.1 | | | |
| Methyl-tert-butyl ether(MTBE) | 1634-04-4 | 88.15 | 0.50 | U | 1.8 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 96.94 | 0.50 | U | 2.0 | | | |
| n-Hexane | 110-54-3 | 86.17 | 0.50 | U | 1.8 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 75-34-3 | 98.96 | 0.50 | U | 2.0 | | | |
| Vinyl acetate | 108-05-4 | 86.00 | 0.50 | U | 1.8 | | | |
| 2-Butanone(MEK) | 78-93-3 | 72.10 | 0.50 | U | 1.5 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 96.94 | 0.50 | U | 2.0 | | | |
| Ethyl acetate | 141-78-6 | 88.1 | 0.50 | U | 1.8 | | | |



EMSL Order: Method Blank EMSL Sample ID: MB110116M Received Date: NA Report Date: 11/18/2016

Project ID: Method Blank Lab Sample ID: MB110116M

Lab File ID: M8843.D Sample Vol(mI): 250 Dilution Factor: 1 Sampling Date: NA Canister ID: E15639

Analysis Date: 11/01/2016 Instrument ID: 5973M Analyst Initials: TP/MTH

Method Blank- Target Compound Results Summary

| USEPA: Compendium Method TO-15, January 1999, (EPA/625/R-96/010b). | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------|------|---|-------|----------|--|
| Result Result | | | | | | | |
| Target Compounds | CAS# | MW | ppbv | Q | ug/m3 | Comments | |
| Chloroform | 67-66-3 | 119.4 | 0.50 | U | 2.4 | | |
| Tetrahydrofuran | 109-99-9 | 72.11 | 0.50 | U | 1.5 | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 71-55-6 | 133.4 | 0.50 | U | 2.7 | | |
| Cyclohexane | 110-82-7 | 84.16 | 0.50 | U | 1.7 | | |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane(Isooctane) | 540-84-1 | 114.2 | 0.50 | U | 2.3 | | |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 56-23-5 | 153.8 | 0.50 | U | 3.1 | | |
| n-Heptane | 142-82-5 | 100.2 | 0.50 | U | 2.0 | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 107-06-2 | 98.96 | 0.50 | U | 2.0 | | |
| Benzene | 71-43-2 | 78.11 | 0.50 | U | 1.6 | | |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 131.4 | 0.50 | U | 2.7 | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 78-87-5 | 113.0 | 0.50 | U | 2.3 | | |
| Methyl Methacrylate | 80-62-6 | 100.12 | 0.50 | U | 2.0 | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 75-27-4 | 163.8 | 0.50 | U | 3.3 | | |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 88.12 | 0.50 | U | 1.8 | | |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone(MIBK) | 108-10-1 | 100.2 | 0.50 | U | 2.0 | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-01-5 | 111.0 | 0.50 | U | 2.3 | | |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 92.14 | 0.50 | U | 1.9 | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-02-6 | 111.0 | 0.50 | U | 2.3 | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 79-00-5 | 133.4 | 0.50 | U | 2.7 | | |
| 2-Hexanone(MBK) | 591-78-6 | 100.1 | 0.50 | U | 2.0 | | |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 165.8 | 0.50 | U | 3.4 | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 124-48-1 | 208.3 | 0.50 | U | 4.3 | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 106-93-4 | 187.8 | 0.50 | U | 3.8 | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 108-90-7 | 112.6 | 0.50 | U | 2.3 | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 106.2 | 0.50 | U | 2.2 | | |
| Xylene (p,m) | 1330-20-7 | 106.2 | 1.0 | U | 4.3 | | |
| Xylene (Ortho) | 95-47-6 | 106.2 | 0.50 | U | 2.2 | | |
| Styrene | 100-42-5 | 104.1 | 0.50 | U | 2.1 | | |
| Isopropylbenzene (cumene) | 98-82-8 | 120.19 | 0.50 | U | 2.5 | | |
| Bromoform | 75-25-2 | 252.8 | 0.50 | U | 5.2 | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 79-34-5 | 167.9 | 0.50 | U | 3.4 | | |



EMSL Order: Method Blank EMSL Sample ID: MB110116M Received Date: NA Report Date: 11/18/2016

Project ID: Method Blank Lab Sample ID: MB110116M

Lab File ID: M8843.D Sample Vol(mI): 250 Dilution Factor: 1 Sampling Date: NA Canister ID: E15639

Analysis Date: 11/01/2016 Instrument ID: 5973M Analyst Initials: TP/MTH

| USEPA: Compendium Method TO-15, January 1999, (EPA/625/R-96/010b). | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------|------|---|-------|----------|--|--|--|
| Result Result | | | | | | | | | |
| Target Compounds | CAS# | MW | ppbv | Q | ug/m3 | Comments | | | |
| 4-Ethyltoluene | 622-96-8 | 120.2 | 0.50 | U | 2.5 | | | | |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 120.2 | 0.50 | U | 2.5 | | | | |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | 95-49-8 | 126.6 | 0.50 | U | 2.6 | | | | |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 120.2 | 0.50 | U | 2.5 | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 541-73-1 | 147.0 | 0.50 | U | 3.0 | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 106-46-7 | 147.0 | 0.50 | U | 3.0 | | | | |
| Benzyl chloride | 100-44-7 | 126.0 | 0.50 | U | 2.6 | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 95-50-1 | 147.0 | 0.50 | U | 3.0 | | | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 120-82-1 | 181.5 | 0.50 | U | 3.7 | | | | |
| Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | 87-68-3 | 260.8 | 0.50 | U | 5.3 | | | | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 128.17 | 0.50 | U | 2.6 | | | | |
| T | otal Target Com | nounds | 00 | | 00 | | | | |

Method Blank- Target Compound Results Summary

Total Target Compounds: 0.0

| <u>Surrogate</u> | <u>Result</u> | <u>Spike</u> | Recovery |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 10 | 10 | 100% |

Qualifier Definitions

U- Compound was analyzed for but not detected at a listed and appropriately adjusted reporting level.

J- Estimated value reported below adjusted reporting limit for target compounds.

B- Compound found in associated method blank as well as in the sample.

D- Compound reported from additional diluted analysis.

E- Estimated value exceeding upper calibration range of instrument. Ethanol and isopropyl alcohol are not specifically targeted to dilute within calibration range.



NJDEP Certification #: 03036

Please visit our website at http://www.emsl.com



Project ID: Method Blank Lab Sample ID: MB110116M

Lab File ID: M8843.D Sample Vol(mI): 250 Dilution Factor: 1 EMSL Order: Method Blank EMSL Sample ID: MB110116M Received Date: NA Report Date: 11/18/2016

Sampling Date: NA Canister ID: E15639

Analysis Date: 11/01/2016 Instrument ID: 5973M Analyst Initials: TP/MTH

Method Blank- Tentatively Identified Compound Results Summary

| USEPA: Compendium Method TO-15, January 1999, (EPA/625/R-96/010b). | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Result Result Retention | | | | | | | |
| Tentatively Identified Compounds CAS# MW(1) ppbv Q ug/m3 Time | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

No Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) Reported

Qualifier Definitions

(1)- If compound is unknown, MW is assigned as Toluene (92) for ug/m3 conversion purposes.

J- Estimated value for TICs based on a 1:1 response to internal standards assumed.

N- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound based on library search match.

B- Compound found in associated method blank as well as in the sample.



NJDEP Certification #: 03036

Please visit our website at <u>http://www.emsl.com</u>

© 2012, EMSL Analytical, Inc., All rights reserved. No part of this report may be reproduced or otherwise distributed or used without the express written consent of EMSL.



EMSL Order: RLLCS EMSL Sample ID: RLLCS110116M

Report Date: 11/02/2016

Project ID: Reporting Limit Laboratory Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: RLLCS110116M Lab File ID: M8844.D Sample Vol(ml): 62.5 Dilution Factor: 1 Sampling Date: NA Canister ID: E0251

Analysis Date: 11/01/2016 Instrument ID: 5973M Analyst Initials: TP/MTH

Reporting Limit Laboratory Control Sample

| USEPA: Compendium Method TO-15, January 1999, (EPA/625/R-96/010b). | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------|-------|--------|-----|---|------------|
| | | | Spike | Result | % | | Recovery |
| Target Compounds | CAS# | MW | ppbv | ppbv | Rec | # | Limits (%) |
| Propylene | 115-07-1 | 42.08 | 0.5 | 0.53 | 106 | | 60-140 |
| Freon 12(Dichlorodifluoromethane) | 75-71-8 | 120.9 | 0.5 | 0.62 | 124 | | 60-140 |
| Freon 114(1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethan | 76-14-2 | 170.9 | 0.5 | 0.57 | 114 | | 60-140 |
| Chloromethane | 74-87-3 | 50.49 | 0.5 | 0.66 | 132 | | 60-140 |
| n-Butane | 106-97-8 | 58.12 | 0.5 | 0.57 | 114 | | 60-140 |
| Vinyl chloride | 75-01-4 | 62.50 | 0.5 | 0.59 | 118 | | 60-140 |
| 1,3-Butadiene | 106-99-0 | 54.09 | 0.5 | 0.59 | 118 | | 60-140 |
| Bromomethane | 74-83-9 | 94.94 | 0.5 | 0.60 | 120 | | 60-140 |
| Chloroethane | 75-00-3 | 64.52 | 0.5 | 0.59 | 118 | | 60-140 |
| Ethanol | 64-17-5 | 46.07 | 0.5 | 0.65 | 130 | | 60-140 |
| Bromoethene(Vinyl bromide) | 593-60-2 | 106.9 | 0.5 | 0.51 | 102 | | 60-140 |
| Freon 11(Trichlorofluoromethane) | 75-69-4 | 137.4 | 0.5 | 0.53 | 106 | | 60-140 |
| Isopropyl alcohol(2-Propanol) | 67-63-0 | 60.10 | 0.5 | 0.52 | 104 | | 60-140 |
| Freon 113(1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethan | 76-13-1 | 187.4 | 0.5 | 0.52 | 104 | | 60-140 |
| Acetone | 67-64-1 | 58.08 | 0.5 | 0.49 | 98 | | 60-140 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | 96.94 | 0.5 | 0.50 | 100 | | 60-140 |
| Acetonitrile | 75-05-8 | 41.00 | 0.5 | 0.53 | 106 | | 60-140 |
| Tertiary butyl alcohol(TBA) | 75-65-0 | 74.12 | 0.5 | 0.44 | 88 | | 60-140 |
| Bromoethane(Ethyl bromide) | 74-96-4 | 108.0 | 0.5 | 0.49 | 98 | | 60-140 |
| 3-Chloropropene(Allyl chloride) | 107-05-1 | 76.53 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 92 | | 60-140 |
| Carbon disulfide | 75-15-0 | 76.14 | 0.5 | 0.53 | 106 | | 60-140 |
| Methylene chloride | 75-09-2 | 84.94 | 0.5 | 0.55 | 110 | | 60-140 |
| Acrylonitrile | 107-13-1 | 53.00 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 92 | | 60-140 |
| Methyl-tert-butyl ether(MTBE) | 1634-04-4 | 88.15 | 0.5 | 0.47 | 94 | | 60-140 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | 96.94 | 0.5 | 0.50 | 100 | | 60-140 |
| n-Hexane | 110-54-3 | 86.17 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 92 | | 60-140 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 75-34-3 | 98.96 | 0.5 | 0.50 | 100 | | 60-140 |
| Vinyl acetate | 108-05-4 | 86.00 | 0.5 | 0.41 | 82 | | 60-140 |
| 2-Butanone(MEK) | 78-93-3 | 72.10 | 0.5 | 0.44 | 88 | | 60-140 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | 96.94 | 0.5 | 0.47 | 94 | | 60-140 |
| Ethyl acetate | 141-78-6 | 88.1 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 92 | | 60-140 |



EMSL Order: RLLCS EMSL Sample ID: RLLCS110116M

Report Date: 11/02/2016

Project ID: Reporting Limit Laboratory Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: RLLCS110116M Lab File ID: M8844.D Sample Vol(ml): 62.5 Dilution Factor: 1 Sampling Date: NA Canister ID: E0251

Analysis Date: 11/01/2016 Instrument ID: 5973M Analyst Initials: TP/MTH

Reporting Limit Laboratory Control Sample

| USEPA: Compendium Method TO-15, January 1999, (EPA/625/R-96/010b). | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------|------|------|-----|---|------------|
| Spike Result % Recovery | | | | | | | |
| Target Compounds | CAS# | MW | ppbv | ppbv | Rec | # | Limits (%) |
| Chloroform | 67-66-3 | 119.4 | 0.5 | 0.49 | 98 | | 60-140 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | 109-99-9 | 72.11 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 90 | | 60-140 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 71-55-6 | 133.4 | 0.5 | 0.48 | 96 | | 60-140 |
| Cyclohexane | 110-82-7 | 84.16 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 90 | | 60-140 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane(Isooctane) | 540-84-1 | 114.2 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 92 | | 60-140 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 56-23-5 | 153.8 | 0.5 | 0.49 | 98 | | 60-140 |
| n-Heptane | 142-82-5 | 100.2 | 0.5 | 0.41 | 82 | | 60-140 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 107-06-2 | 98.96 | 0.5 | 0.48 | 96 | | 60-140 |
| Benzene | 71-43-2 | 78.11 | 0.5 | 0.50 | 100 | | 60-140 |
| Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | 131.4 | 0.5 | 0.43 | 86 | | 60-140 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 78-87-5 | 113.0 | 0.5 | 0.49 | 98 | | 60-140 |
| Methyl Methacrylate | 80-62-6 | 100.12 | 0.5 | 0.41 | 82 | | 60-140 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 75-27-4 | 163.8 | 0.5 | 0.48 | 96 | | 60-140 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 123-91-1 | 88.12 | 0.5 | 0.49 | 98 | | 60-140 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone(MIBK) | 108-10-1 | 100.2 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 80 | | 60-140 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-01-5 | 111.0 | 0.5 | 0.44 | 88 | | 60-140 |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 92.14 | 0.5 | 0.42 | 84 | | 60-140 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-02-6 | 111.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 | 86 | | 60-140 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 79-00-5 | 133.4 | 0.5 | 0.49 | 98 | | 60-140 |
| 2-Hexanone(MBK) | 591-78-6 | 100.1 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 80 | | 60-140 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | 165.8 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 80 | | 60-140 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 124-48-1 | 208.3 | 0.5 | 0.44 | 88 | | 60-140 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 106-93-4 | 187.8 | 0.5 | 0.43 | 86 | | 60-140 |
| Chlorobenzene | 108-90-7 | 112.6 | 0.5 | 0.48 | 96 | | 60-140 |
| Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 106.2 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 90 | | 60-140 |
| Xylene (p,m) | 1330-20-7 | 106.2 | 1.0 | 0.89 | 89 | | 60-140 |
| Xylene (Ortho) | 95-47-6 | 106.2 | 0.5 | 0.42 | 84 | | 60-140 |
| Styrene | 100-42-5 | 104.1 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 80 | | 60-140 |
| Isopropylbenzene (cumene) | 98-82-8 | 120.19 | 0.5 | 0.42 | 84 | | 60-140 |
| Bromoform | 75-25-2 | 252.8 | 0.5 | 0.41 | 82 | | 60-140 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 79-34-5 | 167.9 | 0.5 | 0.50 | 100 | | 60-140 |



EMSL Order: RLLCS EMSL Sample ID: RLLCS110116M

Report Date: 11/02/2016

Project ID: Reporting Limit Laboratory Control Sample

Lab Sample ID: RLLCS110116M Lab File ID: M8844.D Sample Vol(ml): 62.5 Dilution Factor: 1 Sampling Date: NA Canister ID: E0251

Analysis Date: 11/01/2016 Instrument ID: 5973M Analyst Initials: TP/MTH

| USEPA: Compendium Method TO-15, January 1999, (EPA/625/R-96/010b). | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|------|------|-----|---|------------|--|
| Spike Result % Recovery | | | | | | | | |
| Target Compounds | CAS# | MW | ppbv | ppbv | Rec | # | Limits (%) | |
| 4-Ethyltoluene | 622-96-8 | 120.2 | 0.5 | 0.41 | 82 | | 60-140 | |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 120.2 | 0.5 | 0.42 | 84 | | 60-140 | |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | 95-49-8 | 126.6 | 0.5 | 0.47 | 94 | | 60-140 | |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 120.2 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 80 | | 60-140 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 541-73-1 | 147.0 | 0.5 | 0.39 | 78 | | 60-140 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 106-46-7 | 147.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 80 | | 60-140 | |
| Benzyl chloride | 100-44-7 | 126.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 | 86 | | 60-140 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 95-50-1 | 147.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 80 | | 60-140 | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 120-82-1 | 181.5 | 0.5 | 0.32 | 64 | | 60-140 | |
| Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | 87-68-3 | 260.8 | 0.5 | 0.34 | 68 | | 60-140 | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 128.17 | 0.5 | 0.42 | 84 | | 60-140 | |

Reporting Limit Laboratory Control Sample

| Surrogate | <u>Result</u> | <u>Spike</u> | <u>Recovery</u> |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 10 | 10 | 100% |

= Compounds outside control limits marked with asterisk (*).

| Total Compounds Spiked | 73 |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| Total Outside Control Limits | 0 |
| % Recoveries within Control Limits | 100 |

Acceptable Criteria: 90% of compounds must be within control limits



NJDEP Certification #: 03036

Please visit our website at http://www.emsl.com

© 2012, EMSL Analytical, Inc., All rights reserved. No part of this report may be reproduced or otherwise distributed or used without the express written consent of EMSL.

Data Path : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\110116M\ Data File : M8857.D Acq On : 2 Nov 2016 7:02 pm Operator : TP/MTH Sample : ECVS110116M-10 Misc : E0241,62.5,1 ALS Vial : 18 Sample Multiplier: 1

Quant Time: Nov 18 11:48:23 2016 Quant Method : C:\MSDCHEM\1\METHODS\Q0101116M.M Quant Title : TO-15 Determination of VOCs in Air QLast Update : Wed Oct 12 12:06:20 2016 Response via : Initial Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 60% Max. R.T. Dev 0.30min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 140%

| | | Compound AvgRF CCR | | CCRF | %Dev Ai | rea% | Dev(min) |
|----|----|------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|------|----------|
| 1 | | Bromochloromethane | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 74 | 0.00 |
| 2 | mc | Propylene | 0.685 | 0.767 | -12.0 | 82 | 0.05 |
| 3 | Mc | Freon 12 (Dichlorodifluorome | 1.776 | 2.071 | -16.6 | 79 | 0.05 |
| 4 | Mc | Freon 114(1,2-Dichlorotetra | 1.936 | 2.323 | -20.0 | 87 | 0.04 |
| 5 | mc | Chloromethane | 0.701 | 0.821 | -17.1 | 86 | 0.04 |
| 6 | Т | n-Butane | 1.273 | 1.477 | -16.0 | 83 | 0.05 |
| 7 | Mc | Vinyl chloride | 0.796 | 0.954 | -19.8 | 86 | 0.04 |
| 8 | mc | 1,3-Butadiene | 0.682 | 0.731 | -7.2 | 79 | 0.04 |
| 9 | Mc | Bromomethane | 0.668 | 0.789 | -18.1 | 86 | 0.04 |
| 10 | Mc | Chloroethane | 0.390 | 0.467 | -19.7 | 86 | 0.04 |
| 11 | mc | Ethanol | 0.193 | 0.232 | -20.2 | 96 | 0.02 |
| 12 | MC | Bromoethene (Vinyl bromide) | 0.664 | 0.716 | -7.8 | 77 | 0.04 |
| 13 | MC | Freon 11 (Trichlorofluoromet | 1.872 | 1.977 | -5.6 | 76 | 0.04 |
| 14 | mc | Isopropyl alcohol(2-Propano | 1.220 | 1.233 | -1.1 | 73 | 0.01 |
| 15 | mc | Freon 113(1,1,2-Trichlorotr | 1.373 | 1.441 | -5.0 | 75 | 0.03 |
| 16 | mc | Acetone | 1.252 | 1.286 | -2.7 | 75 | 0.02 |
| 17 | mc | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 1.163 | 1.194 | -2.7 | 73 | 0.03 |
| 18 | MC | Acetonitrile | 0.760 | 0.726 | 4.5 | 71 | 0.02 |
| 19 | MC | Tertiary butyl alcohol(TBA) | 1.793 | 1.841 | -2.7 | 72 | 0.01 |
| 20 | 10 | Bromoethane (Ethyl bromide) | 0.603 | 0.618 | -2.5 | 73 | 0.02 |
| 21 | MC | 3-Chloropropene (Allyl chlor | 0.988 | 0.920 | 6.9 | 67 | 0.02 |
| 22 | mc | Carbon disulfide | 2.104 | 2.260 | -7.4 | 77 | 0.02 |
| 23 | mc | Methylene chloride | 0.857 | 0.877 | -2.3 | 74 | 0.02 |
| 24 | MC | Acrylonitrile | 0.570 | 0.571 | -0.2 | 70 | 0.02 |
| 25 | mc | Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTB | 2.193 | 2.220 | -1.2 | 72 | 0.02 |
| 26 | mc | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 1.147 | 1.161 | -1.2 | 72 | 0.02 |
| 27 | mc | n-Hexane | 1.277 | 1.304 | -2.1 | 73 | 0.02 |
| 28 | mc | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 1.397 | 1.415 | -1.3 | 72 | 0.01 |
| 29 | mc | Vinyl acetate | 1.292 | 1.557 | -20.5 | 86 | 0.00 |
| 30 | mc | 2-Butanone (MEK) | 1.764 | 1.617 | 8.3 | 65 | 0.00 |
| 31 | mc | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.778 | 0.778 | 0.0 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 32 | mc | Ethyl acetate | 2.084 | 1.948 | 6.5 | 66 | 0.00 |
| 33 | mc | Chloroform | 1.520 | 1.528 | -0.5 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 34 | mc | Tetrahydrofuran | 1.000 | 0.923 | 7.7 | 66 | 0.00 |
| 35 | mc | 1.1.1-Trichloroethane | 1,557 | 1.554 | 0.2 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 36 | mc | Cvclohexane | 1.087 | 1.110 | -2.1 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 37 | MC | 2.2.4-Trimethylpentane(Isoo | 4.185 | 4.219 | -0.8 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 38 | mc | Carbon tetrachloride | 1,494 | 1.527 | -2.2 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 39 | mc | n-Heptane | 1.610 | 1.494 | 7.2 | 67 | 0.00 |
| 40 | mc | 1.2-Dichloroethane | 1.085 | 1.041 | 4.1 | 69 | 0.00 |
| 41 | mc | Benzene | 2.380 | 2.399 | -0.8 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 42 | | 1,4-Difluorobenzene | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 43 | mc | Trichloroethene | 0.397 | 0.379 | 4.5 | 68 | 0.00 |
| 44 | mc | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.344 | 0.346 | -0.6 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 45 | Т | Methyl Methacrylate | 0.347 | 0.339 | 2.3 | 67 | 0.00 |
| 46 | mc | Bromodichloromethane | 0.645 | 0.658 | -2.0 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 47 | mc | 1,4-Dioxane | 0.128 | 0.143 | -11.7 | 79 | 0.00 |
| 48 | mc | 4-Methvl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 0.773 | 0.733 | 5.2 | 65 | 0.00 |

13 of 14

| 49 | mc | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.493 | 0.498 | -1.0 | 70 | 0.00 |
|----|----|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----|-------|
| 50 | mc | Toluene | 1.082 | 1.088 | -0.6 | 70 | 0.00 |
| 51 | mc | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.494 | 0.505 | -2.2 | 71 | -0.01 |
| 52 | mc | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.363 | 0.378 | -4.1 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 53 | mc | 2-Hexanone (MBK) | 0.754 | 0.743 | 1.5 | 67 | 0.00 |
| 54 | mc | Tetrachloroethene | 0.444 | 0.432 | 2.7 | 70 | 0.00 |
| 55 | CM | Dibromochloromethane | 0.596 | 0.621 | -4.2 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 56 | mc | 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.556 | 0.546 | 1.8 | 69 | -0.01 |
| 57 | | Chlorobenzene-d5 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 70 | 0.00 |
| 58 | mc | Chlorobenzene | 0.927 | 0.933 | -0.6 | 69 | 0.00 |
| 59 | mc | Ethylbenzene | 1.599 | 1.621 | -1.4 | 69 | -0.01 |
| 60 | mc | Xylene (p,m) | 1.282 | 1.348 | -5.1 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 61 | mc | Xylene (Ortho) | 1.302 | 1.300 | 0.2 | 68 | 0.00 |
| 62 | mc | Styrene | 0.952 | 0.963 | -1.2 | 69 | 0.00 |
| 63 | t | Isopropylbenzene (cumene) | 1.792 | 1.873 | -4.5 | 70 | 0.00 |
| 64 | mc | Bromoform | 0.629 | 0.658 | -4.6 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 65 | mc | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.905 | 1.022 | -12.9 | 75 | 0.00 |
| 66 | S | 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.776 | 0.837 | -7.9 | 75 | 0.00 |
| 67 | cm | 4-Ethyltoluene | 1.846 | 1.967 | -6.6 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 68 | mc | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 1.519 | 1.624 | -6.9 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 69 | MC | 2-Chlorotoluene | 1.380 | 1.454 | -5.4 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 70 | mc | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 1.575 | 1.689 | -7.2 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 71 | mc | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 1.036 | 1.075 | -3.8 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 72 | mc | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 1.056 | 1.099 | -4.1 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 73 | mc | Benzyl chloride | 1.118 | 1.256 | -12.3 | 73 | 0.00 |
| 74 | mc | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.978 | 1.014 | -3.7 | 72 | 0.00 |
| 75 | mc | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.910 | 0.897 | 1.4 | 71 | 0.00 |
| 76 | mc | Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | 0.673 | 0.742 | -10.3 | 77 | 0.00 |
| 77 | Т | Naphthalene | 2.177 | 2.313 | -6.2 | 72 | 0.00 |

(#) = Out of Range SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0

Q0101116M.M Fri Nov 18 11:48:30 2016



Data Quality Assessment Report

APPENDIX I DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT REPORT

DRAFT – AIR MONITORING SUMMARY REPORT SIMS METAL RECYCLING 699 SEAPORT BOULEVARD REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA 94063-2712 CONTRACT NO. 15-T4124

I.1 Summary of QA/QC Samples

We collected 115 air samples consisting of 84 primary field samples and 31 field quality control (QC) samples on October 20 through 22, 2016 at the SMM site. A breakdown of the number of primary and QA/QC samples is as follows:

| Number of Primary Field Samples | Number of QA/QC Samples | Laboratory | Analysis |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| 12 | 7 | CLN | TSP, metals |
| 12 | 7 | CLN | PM_{10} , metals |
| 12 | 7 | CLN | PM _{2.5} , metals |
| 12 | 3 | EMSL | asbestos (TEM) |
| 12 | 1 | EMSL | VOCs |
| 12 | 3 | ECS | PCBs |
| 12 | 3 | EAT | Formaldehyde |

Notes: CLN = CHESTER LabNet, EMSL = EMSL Analytical ECS = Eurofins Calscience EAT = Eurofins Air Toxics

I.2 Laboratory Quality Control Results

The laboratory QC samples consisted of replicate samples, method blanks, laboratory control samples (LCSs), matrix spikes (MS), and MS duplicates (MSD). Upon receipt of the analytical reports, we reviewed the data for completeness, compliance with the laboratory contract scope of work, and the *Sampling and Analysis Plan* (Geocon, 2015).

I.2.1 CLN QA/QC Review

The following analytical notes were included in the case CLN's Case Narrative:

- "Many of the samples had thicker than usual deposits and did not conform (DNC) to the thin film method. This resulted in high uncertainties for the analytes listed in the comments for each affected samples. The results have not been blank corrected. "
- "All of the data have been reviewed by the analysts performing the analyses and the project manager. All of the quality control and sample-specific information in this package is complete and meets or exceeds the minimum requirements for acceptability."

The results of CLN's QA/QC procedures are in Appendix I.

J.2.2 EMSL QA/QC Review

The following analytical notes were included in EMSL's Case Narrative for the asbestos samples:

- "The samples were received via overnight carrier and were logged in following normal lab procedures. All samples were received under Chain of Custody and in good condition.
- All samples were analyzed according to the TEM AHERA method EPA 40 CFR, Part 763, Subpart E, except for EMSL order 041628499. These samples had a particulate loading greater than ten percent and were analyzed at the clients' request. This method is for the determination of asbestos concentrations in air samples by TEM. Air samples are collected on a membrane filter, prepared via a direct preparation method and analyzed with an electron microscope at approximately 20,000 X magnification. Fibers encountered during analysis were identified by morphology, Energy Dispersive X-Ray analysis, and Selected Area Electron Diffraction. Results are reported in structures per cc or air, with an analytical sensitivity of <0.005 structures per cc of air.
- The Quality Control and equipment calibration were performed in compliance with EMSL's Quality Assurance Manual. One laboratory blank was analyzed and three inter-analyst QC analyses were completed. All QC presented with this package were found to be concordant."

The following analytical notes were included in EMSL's QA/QC Summaries for the TOS samples:

- Serial numbers for four samples were incorrect on the chain of custody. The correct information was verified using information recorded Field Log and was clarified to the lab in an email dated October 17, 2016.
- "Test meets all NELAP requirements unless otherwise specified."

I.2.3 EAT QA/QC Review

The following analytical notes were included in EAT's Laboratory Narrative:

- "The Chain of Custody (COC) was missing method information. EATL proceeded with the analysis as per the original contract or verbal agreement."
- "The COC was not relinquished properly. The signature, date and time provided in the first 'Received By' line was completed by the field sampler. The correct 'Received By' information was completed on the second line of the COC by the laboratory receiving technician."

 The quantitation of Formaldehyde in samples SMM2-T1-FORM, SMM5-T1-FORM, SMM1-T2-FORM, SMM2-T2-FORM, SMM3-T2-FORM, SMM4-T2-FORM, SMM2-T3-FORM, SMM3-T3-FORM, SMM4-T3-FORM and SMM5-T3-FORM is impacted by matrix interference. Results are qualified with an "M" flag."

The results of EAT's QA/QC procedures are in Appendix I.

I.2.4 ECS QA/QC Review

The following analytical notes were included in ECS's Work Order Narrative:

- "Unless otherwise noted on the Sample Receiving forms all samples were received in good condition and within the recommended EPA temperature criteria for the methods noted on the COC. The COC and Sample Receiving Documents are integral elements of the analytical report and are presented at the back of the report.
- All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times (HT) and/or in accordance with the Calscience Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the analytical report and/or comprehensive case narrative, if required.
- Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with a holding time of <= 15 minutes (40CFR-136.3 Table II, footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and the reported results will be qualified as being received outside of the stated holding time unless received at the laboratory within 15 minutes of the collection time.
- All quality control parameters (QC) were within established control limits except where noted in the QC summary forms or described further within this report.

The results of ECS's QA/QC procedures in Appendix I.

I.3 Field Quality Control Results

Field QC samples consisted of field blank samples, trip blanks and lab blank samples, and two field duplicate sample pairs. The results of analysis of the QA/QC samples are presented in Tables 1 through 7 of the report.

I.3.1 Field Blanks, Trip Blanks, and Lab Blanks

The pre- and post-sampling weight differences for various field blank, trip blank, and lab blank samples are as follows.

| Sample Type | Sample ID | Analysis | Weight difference (µg) |
|----------------|------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| | SMM1-T2-TSP-FB | TSP | 400 |
| Field Blank | SMM3-T3-TSP-FB | 151 | 2,300 |
| I ICIG DIalik | SMM1-T2-PM ₁₀ -FB | PM | 12 |
| | SMM3-T3-PM ₁₀ -FB | 1 141 [0 | 7 |

| Sample Type | Sample ID | Analysis | Weight difference (ua) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| - 71 | SMM1-T2-PM _{2.5} -FB | DM | 9 |
| | SMM3-T3-PM _{2.5} -FB | $PM_{2.5}$ | 25 |
| | SMM-TB-TSP | TSP | 800 |
| Trip Blank | SMM-TB-PM ₁₀ | PM_{10} | 7 |
| | SMM-TB-PM _{2.5} | PM _{2.5} | 14 |
| | Lab Blank | тср | 600 |
| | Lab Blank | 151 | 200 |
| Lah Blank | Lab Blank | | 3 |
| | Lab Blank | PM/PM | -1 |
| | Lab Blank | 1 IVI 10/ 1 IVI 2.5 | 3 |
| | Lab Blank | | 1 |

The validation acceptance criterion for field, trip, and lab blanks are ± 30 , ± 15 , and $\pm 15 \,\mu g$ between weighings. Therefore, each of the PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} field, trip, and lab blank samples meet the acceptance criteria. None of the TSP field, trip, and lab blank samples meet the acceptance criteria.

I.3.2 Field Duplicates

Collocated duplicate air samples were collected and submitted for analysis. Samples identified with SMM5-T1 were collocated duplicate samples of the primary samples identified with SMM2-T1. Samples identified with SMM5-T3 were collocated duplicate samples of the primary samples identified with SMM2-T3. CLN analyzed both of the TSP, PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$ samples for gravimetric and metals. EMSL analyzed both samples for asbestos and VOCs, ECS analyzed both for PCBs, and EAT analyzed both for formaldehyde. We compared the results of primary samples to their duplicates (Tables 1 through 7) by calculating the relative percent difference (RPD) between the reported concentrations of the two samples. The analytes with concentrations differences that exceeded the acceptable relative RPD of 20% were as follows:

| TSP | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} | TO-11A |
|---|---|---|--------------|
| Antimony, Cadmium, Gallium, Germanium, Molybdenum, Rubidium, Strontium, and Yttrium | Antimony, Copper, Gallium, Germanium, Rubidium, Selenium, Sodium, Tin, and Vanadium | Arsenic, Barium, Chromium, Copper, Lanthanum, Lead, Nickel, Rubidium, Strontium, Vanadium, and Zirconium | Formaldehyde |

As shown above, the analytical results for the TSP samples had the most analytes with RPDs exceeding 20%, followed by the PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, and the Summa canister samples. According to CLN staff, metals are commonly present on new, unused TSP, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ filters, with the TSP quartz fiber filters typically having more metals than the Teflon filters used for PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. The analytical results of the lab blanks

corroborate this because only five of the 35 metals analyzed were not detected in both TSP lab blank samples analyzed. Similarly, eight of the 38 metals analyzed were not detected in each of the four PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ lab blank samples analyzed.

To evaluate the effect that these pre-existing metals concentrations had on the variability between the primary and duplicate sample analysis results, we calculated the differences between the primary and duplicate samples and between the lab blanks. Lab blanks consisting of clean filters that were prepared in the same manner as the rest of the filters used in the field, but were never shipped to Geocon. CLN retained the lab blanks and upon receipt of the field samples, analyzed the TSP, PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$ lab blanks for gravimetric and metals. If more than two lab blanks were analyzed (as was the case for the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ samples), we used the maximum and minimum reported concentrations. We multiplied the lab blanks mass (in $\mu g/filter$) by the volume used for the TSP, PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$ sampling equipment to calculate the concentration of the lab blanks in $\mu g/m^3$. Finally, we divided the duplicate concentration difference by the lab blank concentration difference to determine the potential percentage of variation of the duplicate samples that could be attributable to the lab blank concentrations. The results of these calculations are in Table J.

| Analytes with concentration variances most attributable to filter contamination | Analytes with concentration variances moderately attributable to filter contamination | Analytes with concentrations variances least attributable to filter contamination |
|---|--|--|
| TSP – Rubidium | TSP – Antimony, Cadmium, Gallium, Germanium, Molybdenum, Strontium | TSP – Yttrium |
| PM ₁₀ – Antimony, Gallium, Germanium | PM ₁₀ – Tin, Rubidium, Vanadium | PM ₁₀ – Copper, Selenium, Sodium |
| PM _{2.5} – Chromium | PM _{2.5} – Arsenic, Lead, Rubidium, Strontium, Vanadium, Zirconium | PM _{2.5} –Barium, Copper, Lanthanum, Nickel |

The variability of analytes in the left column appear to be attributed to the variability inherent in the filters and therefore, the reported results are acceptable. The variability of analytes in the middle column may be partially attributed to the variability inherent in the filters. Professional judgement should be used to determine if the data are of adequate quality for the intended use. The variability of analytes in the right column does not appear to be attributed to the variability inherent in the filters. Therefore, these analyses must be qualified as estimated with the potential to be less than or greater than the reported values. Likely reasons for the variability are changes in wind speed and direction during the sampling and the nonheterogenic distribution of particulates in air.

| SIMS METAL RECYCLING (SMM), 699 SEAPORT BOULEVARD, REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA CONTRACT NO. 15-T4124 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Sample ID: | Date Collected | Analyte | Primary Result | Duplicate Result | Duplicate Concentration Difference | Relative Percent Difference | Lab Blank Minimum | Lab Blank Maximum | Mass Difference | Volume of (used to convert mass into concentration) | Lab Blank Concentration Difference | Possible Variation From Blank Filters ¹ |
| Primary/ Duplicate | | | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) | (%) | (µg/filter) | (µg/filter) | (µg/filter) | (m ³) | (µg/m³) | (%) |
| | | TSP – Gallium | 0.0014 | 0.0049 | 0.0035 | 111 | 13.28 | 14.66 | 1.38 | 1752 | 0.0008 | 22.50% |
| | | TSP – Germanium | 0.0022 | 0.0038 | 0.0016 | 53 | 6.415 | 7.146 | 0.731 | 1752 | 0.0004 | 26.08% |
| | | TSP – Rubidium | 0.0027 | 0.0037 | 0.001 | 31 | 0 | 2.842 | 2.842 | 1752 | 0.0016 | 162.21% |
| | | TSP - Yttrium | 0.006 | 0.0039 | 0.0021 | 42 | 3.207 | 3.37 | 0.163 | 1752 | 0.0001 | 4.43% |
| | | TSP - Cadmium | 0.0016 | 0.0052 | 0.0036 | 106 | 0 | 1.218 | 1.218 | 1752 | 0.0007 | 19.31% |
| | | TSP - Antimony | 0.0051 | 0.0113 | 0.0062 | 76 | 0 | 4.182 | 4.182 | 1752 | 0.0024 | 38.50% |
| | | PM10 - Sodium | 0.9266 | 1.142 | 0.2154 | 21 | 0 | 0.0282 | 0.0282 | 24 | 0.0012 | 0.55% |
| | | PM10 – Selenium | 0.004 | 0.0053 | 0.0013 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0.0000 | 0.00% |
| SMM2 T1/ | | PM10 - Tin | 0 | 0.0124 | 0.0124 | 200 | 0 | 0.1153 | 0.1153 | 24 | 0.0048 | 38.74% |
| SMM5-T1 | 10/20/2016 | PM10-Antimony | 0.0056 | 0.0092 | 0.0036 | 49 | 0 | 0.1469 | 0.1469 | 24 | 0.00612 | 170.02% |
| | | PM2.5 – Vanadium | 0.0017 | 0.0028 | 0.0011 | 49 | 0 | 0.0102 | 0.0102 | 24 | 0.0004 | 38.64% |
| | | PM2.5 - Copper | 0.0242 | 0.019 | 0.0052 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0.0000 | 0.00% |
| | | PM2.5 - Arsenic | 0.0011 | 0 | 0.0011 | 200 | 0 | 0.0147 | 0.0147 | 24 | 0.0006 | 55.68% |
| | | PM2.5 – Rubidium | 0.0009 | 0 | 0.0009 | 200 | 0 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 24 | 0.0002 | 25.93% |
| | | PM2.5 – Strontium | 0.0026 | 0.0032 | 0.0006 | 21 | 0 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 24 | 0.0002 | 38.89% |
| | | PM2.5 - Barium | 0.0178 | 0.0309 | 0.0131 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0.0000 | 0.00% |
| | | PM2.5 – Lanthanum | 0 | 0.0085 | 0.0085 | 200 | 0 | 0.0124 | 0.0124 | 24 | 0.0005 | 6.08% |
| | | TO-11A - Formaldehyde | 3.2 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 36 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | TSP – Gallium | 0.0019 | 0.0033 | 0.0014 | 54 | 13.28 | 14.66 | 1.38 | 1752 | 0.0008 | 56.26% |
| | | TSP – Germanium | 0.0043 | 0.0016 | 0.0027 | 92 | 6.415 | 7.146 | 0.731 | 1752 | 0.0004 | 15.45% |
| | | TSP - Strontium | 0.0257 | 0.0317 | 0.006 | 21 | 6.537 | 7.795 | 1.258 | 1752 | 0.0007 | 11.97% |
| | | TSP – Molybdenum | 0.0316 | 0.0438 | 0.0122 | 32 | 53.43 | 56.35 | 2.92 | 1752 | 0.0017 | 13.66% |
| | | PM10 - Vanadium | 0.0032 | 0.004 | 0.0008 | 22 | 0 | 0.0102 | 0.0102 | 24 | 0.0004 | 53.13% |
| | | PM10 - Copper | 0.0421 | 0.0333 | 0.0088 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0.0000 | 0.00% |
| | | PM10 - Gallium | 110 - Gallium 0.0004 0.0011 0. | 0.0007 | 20 | 0 | 0.0133 | 0.0133 | 24 | 0.0006 | 79.17% | |
| SMM2-T3/ | 10/22/2016 | PM10 - Germanium | 0.0006 | 0.0009 | 0.0003 | 40 | 0 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 24 | 0.0002 | 77.78% |
| SMM5-T3 | 10/22/2010 | PM10 – Rubidium | 0.0001 | 0.0009 | 0.0008 | 160 | 0 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 24 | 0.0002 | 29.17% |
| | | PM2.5 – Chromium | 0.0018 | 0.0013 | 0.0005 | 32 | 0 | 0.0136 | 0.0136 | 24 | 0.0006 | 113.33% |
| | | PM2.5 - Nickel | 0.0009 | 0.0007 | 0.0002 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0.0000 | 0.00% |
| | | PM2.5 - Copper | 0.0154 | 0.012 | 0.0034 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0.0000 | 0.00% |
| | | PM2.5 - Rubidium | 0.0006 | 0 | 0.0006 | 200 | 0 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 24 | 0.0002 | 38.89% |
| | | PM2.5 - Zirconium | 0.0022 | 0.003 | 0.0008 | 31 | 0 | 0.0113 | 0.0113 | 24 | 0.0005 | 58.85% |
| | | PM2.5 - Lead | 0.0063 | 0.0049 | 0.0014 | 25 | 0 | 0.0124 | 0.0124 | 24 | 0.0005 | 36.90% |
| | | Formaldehyde | 2.4 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 40 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

TABLE I DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT - ANALYSIS OF ANALYTES WITH RPDS EXCEEDING 20% DTSC METAL SHREDDING FACILITIES IMS METAL RECYCLING (SMM), 699 SEAPORT BOULEVARD, REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORN

Notes:

 $\mu g = micrograms$ $m^3 = cubic meter$

 $\mu g/m^3 = micrograms$ per cubic meter

Wind Rose Diagrams







