

Instructions and Code Citations: SAM Section 6601-6616

STATE OF CALIFORNIA -- DEPARTMENT OF F NANCE

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

| DEPARTMENT NAME | CONTACT PERSON | EMAIL ADDRESS | TELEPHONE NUMBER | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|--|
| Dept. of Toxic Substances Control | Kyle Harris | kyle.harris@dtsc.ca.gov | 916-934-9402 | |
| DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 | | | NOTICE FILE NUMBER | |
| Safer Consumer Products: Treatments Containing Perfluoroalkyl or Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Z | | | | |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IM | PACTS Include calculations an | d assumptions in the rulemaking record | I | |
| 27 | | a assumptions in the fullemaking fecold. | ï | |
| 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat | | | | |
| a. Impacts business and/or employees | | orting requirements | | |
| | X b. Impacts small businesses | | | |
| C. Impacts jobs or occupations | g. Impacts indi | | | |
| d. Impacts California competitiveness | h. None of the | above (Explain below): | | |
| | | | | |
| | | mplete this Economic Impact Statement. scal Impact Statement as appropriate. | | |
| The second of the second se | | scar Impaci Statement as appropriate. | | |
| Dept. of Toxic Substances Co 2. The | | phomic impact of this regulation (which includes | the fiscal impact) is: | |
| (Agency/Department) | | | | |
| Below \$10 million | | | | |
| Between \$10 and \$25 million | | | | |
| Between \$25 and \$50 million | | | | |
| | ct is over \$50 million, agencies are ent Code Section 11346.3(c)] | required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impo</u> | act Assessment | |
| 3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted | 23 (See attach.) | | | |
| Describe the types of businesses (Include non | profits): Manufacturers (Se | e attach.; pg. 2) | | |
| Enter the number or percentage of total | | | | |
| businesses impacted that are small businesses: | 16 (See attach.) | | | |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: Indeterminate eliminated: 0 | | | | |
| Explain: This regulatory proposal will not result in the elimination of any businesses (see attachment pg. 4 for explanation). | | | | |
| 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 🔀 Statewide | | | | |
| Γ | Local or regional (List areas): | | 77 | |
| 6. Enter the number of jobs created: Indetern | ninate and eliminated: I | ndeterminate | | |
| Describe des bases of internet annualises in | The requirement | to submit Priority Product Notification | e & Abridged Alternatives | |
| | | p result in the elimination of jobs in C/ | | |
| | | | | |
| 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of Californ other states by making it more costly to produ | | YES XNO | | |
| If YES, explain briefly: The regulation v | will apply to manufacture | ers selling treatments containing perfl | uoroalkyl or | |
| polyfluoroalkyl substances in Califor | rnia. Thirty-six of the 59 | potentially impacted manufacturers r | nationwide are located in | |
| states, or countries, other than Cali | fornia. Only 23 manufac | turers are located in California. | | |

| | Print Form | Reset Form | Instructions and Code Citations: SAM Section 6601-6616 |
|---|--|--|--|
| STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF F NANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019) | STATEMENT | | <u>3AM Section 0001-0010</u> |
| ECON | OMIC IMPA | CT STATEMENT (CO | ONTINUED) |
| B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations an | d assumptions in th | e rulemaking record. | |
| 1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that b | usinesses and indivi | duals may incur to comply with th | is regulation over its lifetime? \$ 2.6 - 7.1 million |
| a. Initial costs for a small business: \$\$112,9 | 60 - \$304,960 | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 | Years: 2022-2023 |
| b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$\$112,9 | 60 - \$304,960 | | |
| c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0 | | Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 | Years: N/A |
| d. Describe other economic costs that may oc | cur: None antic | ipated | |
| 2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the sl | nare of total costs fo | or each industry: <mark>N/A</mark> | |
| 3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirement Include the dollar costs to do programming, record | | | to comply with these requirements. t the paperwork must be submitted. \$0 (See attach.) |
| 4. Will this regulation directly impact housing cost | s? YES | К NO | |
| | If YES, enter th | ne annual dollar cost per housing u | nit: \$ |
| | | Number of u | nits: |
| 6. Are there comparable Federal regulations? | YES | K NO | |
| Explain the need for State regulation given the of similar Federal regulations (see a | | The second s | regulatory proposal is necessary given the lack |
| Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or i | ndividuals that may | be due to State - Federal differen | ces: \$ 0 |
| C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the do | llar value of benefit | s is not specifically required by rul | emaking law, but encouraged. |
| 1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation health and welfare of California residents, work | er safety and the St | ate's environment: The prima | ry goal of SCP regulations is to protect |
| public health by reducing exposure | s to potentially | harmful chemicals. By lis | ting treatments with any PFASs as a |
| Priority Product, DTSC sets in motio | n a strategy to | reduce human exposure t | o PFASs (see attach. for further explanation) |
| 2. Are the benefits the result of: 🔲 specific state | itory requirements, | or \times goals developed by the a | gency based on broad statutory authority? |
| Explain: The statute seeks to minimize | adverse health | effects, evaluate safer alte | ernatives, and promote safer products. |
| 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this | regulation over its | lifetime? <u>\$ Indeterminate</u> | 3 |
| | An of the same second as the second second | | hat would result from this regulation <mark>:Potential job</mark> tification; research and development of |
| safer chemicals and products. | | | |
| D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION In specifically required by rulemaking law, but en | | nd assumptions in the rulemaking | record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not |
| List alternatives considered and describe them | | atives were considered, explain | why not: DTSC considered two regulatory |
| | | | regulatory proposal would be as effective |
| and less burdensome than the chos | en alternative | (see attachment pgs. 8-10 |) for further explanation). |

| | | Print Form | Reset Form | Instructions and Code Citations: SAM Section 6601-6616 |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| ECONOMIC A | - DEPARTMENT OF F NANCE AND FISCAL IMPACT S AND ORDERS) | STATEMENT | | <u>3AM Section 0001-0010</u> |
| 10.000 (101.10/2010) | ECO | NOMIC IMPACT S | TATEMENT (C | ONTINUED) |
| 2. Summarize the t | total statewide costs and bene | efits from this regulation and e | ach alternative consider | ed: |
| Regulation: | Benefit: \$ Indetermina | ate Cost: \$ 2.6 - 7.1 mil | lion | |
| Alternative 1: | Benefit: \$ Indetermina | ate Cost: \$ Indetermina | ate | |
| Alternative 2: | Benefit: \$ Indetermina | ate Cost: \$ Indetermin | ate | |
| | ny quantification issues that an osts and benefits for this regul | re relevant to a comparison | | ociated with actions taken after the AA is |
| completed | are not calculable bec | ause such actions canno | ot be pre-determine | ned (see attach. p 5). |
| regulation man | dates the use of specific tec | r performance standards as an hnologies or equipment, or pr andards considered to lower | escribes specific | YES 🗙 NO |
| Explain. | | | | |
| MAJOR REG | ULATIONS Include calculati | ions and assumptions in the rul | emaking record. | |
| | California Environmen | tal Protection Agency (Ca | l/EPA) boards, offic | es and departments are required to |
| | submit the follo | wing (per Health and Saf | ety Code section 570 | 05). Otherwise, skip to E4. |
| I. Will the estimat | ed costs of this regulation to | California business enterprises | exceed \$10 million? | YES 🗙 NO |
| | | | nplete E2. and E3 , skip to E4 | |
|) Briefly describe | each alternative or combinat | ion of alternatives, for which a | | vsis was performed. |
| Alternative 1: | each alternative, or combinat | ion of allernatives, for which a | | ysis was performed. |
| - Alternative 2: | | | | |
| 2 | al pages for other alternatives) | (| | |
| | | | | |
| 3. For the regulati | on, and each alternative just o | described, enter the estimated | total cost and overall co | ost-effectiveness ratio: |
| | | Cost-effectiv | | |
| | | Cost-effectiv | | |
| Alternative 2: 1 | Fotal Cost \$ | Cost-effectiv | veness ratio: \$ | <u></u> |
| exceeding \$50 r after the majo | | between the date the major i | | es and individuals located in or doing business in California to be filed with the Secretary of State through12 months |
| If YES, agencies | are required to submit a <u>Stand</u> | ardized Regulatory Impact Asses clude the SRIA in the Initial Stater | <u>sment (SRIA)</u> as specified ment of Reasons. | l in |
| 5. Briefly describe | A SAMA A MARKA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | | | |
| The increase or | decrease of investment in the | State: Possible increas | se in business inve | stments in education, training, certification; |
| research an | d development of safe | er chemicals and produc | cts. | |
| The incentive for | or innovation in products, mat | erials or processes: | | |
| | | the subscripted - the strain strains | the development | of alternative chemicals and safer products. |
| The benefits of residents, work | the regulations, including, but ker safety, and the state's env | t not limited to, benefits to the ironment and quality of life, a | health, safety, and well mong any other benefit | |

BAGE 4

| (Pursua | nt to Section 6 of Article XIII B of 1 | he California Constitution and Se | ctions 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| \$ | | <u>~</u> ; | | |
| a. Fu | nding provided in | | | |
| | Budget Act of | or Chapter | , Statutes of | |
| 🗌 b. Fu | nding will be requested in the Go | | | |
| | | Fiscal Year: | | |
| | | | nbursable by the State. (Approximate) ections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code) | |
| \$ | | | | |
| Check red | son(s) this regulation is not reimbur | sable and provide the appropriate | information: | |
| a. Im | olements the Federal mandate co | | | |
| b. Im | plements the court mandate set fo | 20 | | Court. |
| | | | | |
| | Case of: | | VS | |
| 🗌 c. Im | plements a mandate of the people | of this State expressed in their a | approval of Proposition No. | |
| | Date of Election: | | | |
| d. Iss | ued only in response to a specific r | equest from affected local entity | (s). | |
| | Local entity(s) affected: | | | |
| | | | | |
| e. Wi | l be fully financed from the fees, r | | | |
| | Authorized by Section: | | of the | Code; |
| f. Pr | wides for savings to each affected | unit of local government which v | vill, at a minimum, offset any additional costs | to each; |
| g. Cr | eates, eliminates, or changes the p | enalty for a new crime or infract | ion contained in | |
|] 3. Annual | Savings. (approximate) | | | |
| \$ | | | | |
|] 4. No addi | tional costs or savings. This regulat | on makes only technical, non-sub | stantive or clarifying changes to current law reg | ulations. |
| 5. No fisca | l impact exi <mark>st</mark> s. This regulation doe | s not affect any local entity or pro | gram. | |
| 6. Other. | Explain | | | |

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes I through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)



Instructions and Code Citations: SAM Section 6601-6616

at Cada)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate of year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and | assumptions of fiscal impact for the current |
|---|---|--|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Y | ear. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | | |
| It is anticipated that State agencies will: | | |
| a. Absorb these additional costs within their existi | ng budgets and resources. | |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level f | for the Fiscal Year | |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximat | e) | |
| \$ | | |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect | any State agency or program. | |
| X 4. Other. Explain This proposed regulation wo | ould likely result in no additional costs to the Sta | te. DTSC estimates that total costs, |
| which will likely be absorbed, we | ould range from \$1,363,350 to \$4,941,360. See | Attachment p.12 for explanation. |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE P impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Y | | ttach calculations and assumptions of fiscal |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Y | ear. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | | |
| | | |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximat | | |
| \$ | | |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect | any federally funded State agency or program. | |
| 4. Other. Explain | | |
| | | |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE | | DATE |
| Alice damy to | Digitally signed by Alice DN: cn=Alice, o=DTSC, ou=Fin Plan, email=alice.jeung@dtsc.ca.gov, c=US Date: 2021.08.11 14:46:28 -07'00' | 8/11/2021 |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed to the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards highest ranking official in the organization. | an and filled a constant and the second strength and the second transfer that are second to be a second strength and the second strength and th | |
| AGENCY SECRETARY | | DATE |
| Jared Blumenfeld | Digitally signed by Jared Blumenfeld Date: 2021.09.07 14:02:44 -07'00' | |
| Finance approval and signature is required when SA | M sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal 1 | Impact Statement in the STD. 399. |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGE | | DATE |
| Sergio Aguilar | Digitally signed by Sergio Aguilar Date: 2022.01.25 09:20:11 -08'00' | |